



Manuscript Evaluation Form

Dear Reviewer,

This form is meant to assist you ensure that all appropriate criteria are considered in the manuscript review and to be used in summarizing the outcome of your review. Kindly ensure that you provide a clear statement, to the author(s) and editors, of any modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Your timely response would be greatly appreciated as it would enable us to provide timely response and feedback to the author(s).

PART A

IJDM Manuscript No.:	
Reviewer's Name with Title:	
Reviewer's E-mail:	Phone number:
Date manuscript was received:	
Date manuscript review was submitted:	
Manuscript title:	

PART B

Evaluation Criteria

Please award each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 6-point scale (0 – 5) with 0 meaning unacceptable and 5 meaning excellent. A brief explanation should be provided for any item rated 3 or less.

Item No.	Criteria for manuscript evaluation	Rating Scale (0 – 5)
1.	Is the title clear and adequate as to the content of the article? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
2.	Does the abstract clearly represents the objective, methods and results? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
3.	Is the subject matter relevant and up-to-date? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
4.	Is the Introduction (background) appropriate and adequate for the manuscript? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	

5.	Are the study methods adequate and explained clearly? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
6.	Are results properly presented and adequately discussed to meet the journal objectives? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
7.	Are the conclusions or summary accurate and supported by the content? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
8.	Is adequate and current literature properly used in the manuscript? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
9.	Are the references comprehensive, current and appropriate? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
10.	Are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article minimal? <i>(A brief explanation especially for 3 or less point rating)</i>	
<i>Total score</i>		

$$\text{Average Score} = \frac{\text{Total Score}}{10} = \frac{10}{10} =$$

PART C

	Reviewer's Comment
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u><i>please provide related proofs or web links.</i></u>	

Overall Recommendation (please tick your recommendation)

Should be accepted with no revision	
Should be accepted with minor revisions	
Should be return for major revision and re-submission	
Should be rejected	

PART D

Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer".

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Please provide additional comments on your reasons for acceptance or rejection of the manuscripts as well as any suggestions that you think are necessary for revisions or improvements.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

PART E

Reviewer Details

**This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.**

Name of the Reviewer (Surname first)	
Department of Reviewer	
University or Institution of Reviewer	
Country of Reviewer	
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	
E-mail of Reviewer	
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (include country's code please)	
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	